



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,054	06/24/2004	Migaku Suzuki	930055-2029	9171
7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
Ronald R Santucci Frommer Lawrence & Haug 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151				ANDERSON, CATHARINE L
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3761		

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,054	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 June 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/04.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-17, 20-33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryan (5,716,350).

Ryan discloses an absorptive product comprising an absorbent body 82 and a liquid-impermeable trap portion 74, as shown in figure 6. The trap portion 34 overlaps the absorbent body 82, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 2, the trap portion 74 is formed of a liquid-impermeable sheet, as disclosed in column 4, lines 35-39.

With respect to claim 3, the trap portion 74 has an opening 88, as shown in figure 7.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, the opening 88 extends in both the longitudinal and width directions, as shown in figure 7.

With respect to claim 6, the absorbent body 82 straddles the opening 88, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 7, the aperture 88 extends through to the opposite side of the sheet, as shown in figure 7.

With respect to claim 8, a pair of flaps 76 extend along the side edges of the absorbent body 82 with the trap portion 74 formed between the flaps, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 9, the trap portion 74 extends across both sides of the absorbent body 82, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 10, a guiding member 76 guides liquid into the absorbent body 82, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 11, the trap portion 74 is formed from a liquid impermeable sheet, as disclosed in column 4, lines 35-39, and comprises a guiding section 94, as shown in figure 7.

With respect to claims 12-14 and 21, the trap portion has an outer bag 42 and an inner bag 38 comprising a folded portion 63, as shown in figure 3.

With respect to claim 15, a dislocation prevention member 92 is provided between the absorbent body 82 and the sheet, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claim 16, a pair of elastics 76 are joined along the sides of the sheet, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claims 17 and 33, the absorbent body 84 is formed on a nonwoven substrate, the topsheet of the diaper, and may be defined as portions along prescribed intervals.

With respect to claims 20 and 22, the methods disclosed merely claim the formation of the claimed invention. Therefore, the formation of the finished products disclosed by Ryan fulfill the limitations of the claims.

With respect to claim 23, a fitting section 92 to which the absorptive product is attached, as shown in figure 6.

With respect to claims 24-32, the absorptive product is fitted into an incontinence garment, which is a type of underpants, as shown in figure 1.

With respect to claim 35, a backup sheet 72 and guiding sheet 76 are provided, as shown in figure 6, to trap and guide urine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-19 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (5,716,350) in view of Kolb.

Ryan discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the absorbent body comprising 50-95% superabsorbent and having a hydrodisintegrative characteristic. Kolb teaches an absorbent body for an absorbent article comprising, at least 50% superabsorbent, as disclosed in column 2, lines 40-41, which allows the absorbent body to disintegrate in water so it may be flushed, as disclosed in column 1, lines 47-58. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the absorbent article of Ryan with the absorbent body of Kolb to allow the article to be flushable.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 6,416,500 and 2004/0106909 disclose absorbent bodies and trap portions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3761

CLA
cla

August 18, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tatya

